arXiv:0804.3631v1 [math.AG] 23 Apr 2008

VIRASORO CONSTRAINTS AND DESCENDANT HURWITZ-HODGE INTEGRALS

YUNFENG JIANG AND HSIAN-HUA TSENG

ABSTRACT. Virasoro constraints are applied to degree zero Gromov-Witten theory of weighted projective stacks $\mathbb{P}(1, N)$ and $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, N)$ to obtain formulas of descendant cyclic Hurwitz-Hodge integrals in higher genera.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [14] the general theory of Frobenius manifolds and Virasoro constraints (see e.g. [8], [11], [12]) is applied to write down Virasoro constraints for orbifold Gromov-Witten theory. Following the idea[1] in the work [10], Virasoro constraints are also applied to degree zero Gromov-Witten theory to deduce formulas for genus zero Hurwitz-Hodge integrals. In this paper we carry out the same idea in higher genus, that is, we derive formulas for higher genus descendant cyclic Hurwitz-Hodge integrals by applying Virasoro constraints to weighted projective stacks. Our main results, Theorems 3.2–3.3 and Theorems 4.2–4.3, may be viewed as generalizations of the famous λ_g-theorem and Faber's intersection number conjecture.

We closely follow the notations used in [14]. The readers are referred to [14] and references therein for notations, conventions, and general discussions on Gromov-Witten theory for Deligne-Mumford stacks and their Virasoro constraints. Stable maps to weighted projective stacks are discussed briefly in Section 2. The applications of Virasoro constraints to degree zero theory of weighted projective lines and planes are carried out in Sections 3 and 4 respectively. A brief discussion on the dimension three case is given in Section 5.

Acknowledgments. Both authors thank the organizers of the workshop *Recent progress of the moduli of curves* in Banff International Research Station, where part of this work was pursued.

2. DEGREE ZERO TWISTED STABLE MAPS TO WEIGHTED PROJECTIVE SPACES

In this section basics about degree zero twisted stable maps to weighted projective spaces will be discussed.

Fix an integer $N > 1$. Let

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}(\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{d}, N)$$

be the d-dimensional weighted projective stack with weights $(1, 1, \cdots, 1, N)$. There is a unique stacky point $p = [0, \cdots, 0, 1]$ on $\mathcal{X}$ with isotropy group the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_N$. We shall identify $\mathbb{Z}_N$ with the group of N-th roots of unity. Put $\omega := \exp(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{N})$.

Date: April 23, 2008.

[1] This was suggested to the second author by E. Getzler in May 2004.

Fix $g \geq 1$ throughout. Let $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a degree zero genus g twisted stable map and

$$\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{C}) \to \pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{X}), \tag{2.1}$$

be the associated monodromy representation. If (2.1) is nontrivial, then f must factor through $p \simeq \mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N$. By representability, this happens when there are stacky points on $\mathcal{C}$. Suppose the stack structure on the domain curve $\mathcal{C}$ is given by the following tuple of elements in $\mathbb{Z}_N$ (here $n_1, \cdots, n_{N-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$),

$$\mathbf{x} = (\underbrace{\omega, \cdots, \omega}_{n_1}, \underbrace{\omega^2, \cdots, \omega^2}_{n_2}, \cdots, \underbrace{\omega^i, \cdots, \omega^i}_{n_i}, \cdots, \underbrace{\omega^{N-1}, \cdots, \omega^{N-1}}_{n_{N-1}}). \tag{2.2}$$

Let C be the coarse curve of $\mathcal{C}$. The morphism f is equivalent to an admissible $\mathbb{Z}_N$-cover $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \to C$ with monodromies specified by $\mathbf{x}$. Let h denote the genus of $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+\Sigma_i n_i}(\mathcal{X}, 0, \mathbf{x})$ be the moduli stack of degree zero genus g twisted stable maps $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{X}$ with n non-stacky marked points and $\Sigma_i n_i$ stacky marked points of type $\mathbf{x}$. Let

$$q : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n+\Sigma_i n_i}(\mathcal{X}, 0, \mathbf{x}) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+\Sigma_i n_i}$$

be the forgetful map to the moduli space of genus g stable $(n + \Sigma_i n_i)$-marked curves. Descendant classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n+\Sigma_i n_i}(\mathcal{X}, 0, \mathbf{x})$ are defined via pull-back,

$$\psi_i := q^*\psi_i.$$

Degree zero descendent orbifold Gromov-Witten invariants of $\mathcal{X}$ are defined by

$$\langle \tau_{l_1} \cdots \tau_{l_n} \widetilde{\tau}_{k_1} \cdots \widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}} \rangle_g^{\mathcal{X}} := \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+\Sigma_i n_i}(\mathcal{X},0,\mathbf{x})]^{vir}} \psi^{l_1} \cdots \psi^{l_n} \psi^{k_1} \cdots \psi^{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Here τ indicates descendant insertion from non-stacky marked points, and $\widetilde{\tau}$ indicates descendant insertion from stacky marked points.

Let L_ω denote the line bundle over $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N$ defined by the $\mathbb{Z}_N$-representation $\mathbb{C}$ on which $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ acts by multiplication by ω. It can be seen from the definition of $\mathcal{X}$ that the normal bundle of $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N \subset \mathcal{X}$ is $L_\omega^{\oplus d}$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+\sum_i n_i}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N, \mathbf{x})$ denote the moduli stack of genus g stable maps to $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N$ with marked points of stack type $\mathbf{x}$. Consider the universal diagram associated to stable maps to $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N$ of stack type $\mathbf{x}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "p"'] & pt \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{C} \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & \mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N \\
\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+\Sigma_i n_i}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N, \mathbf{x}). &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\widetilde{\pi} = \pi \circ p : \widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n+\Sigma_i n_i}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N, \mathbf{x})$ be the composite map. The $\mathbb{Z}_N$-action on $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ induces an action on the dual of the Hodge bundle $\mathbb{E}^\vee = R^1\widetilde{\pi}_*\mathcal{O}$. This yields the decomposition of $\mathbb{E}^\vee$ into ω-eigenbundles:

$$\mathbb{E}^\vee = \mathbb{E}_1^\vee \oplus \mathbb{E}_\omega^\vee \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{E}_{\omega^{N-1}}^\vee.$$

Following the convention in [4], $\mathbb{E}_{\omega^i}^\vee$ is the eigen-bundle on which ω acts with eigenvalue ω^i.

It is easy to see that

$$R^1\pi_* f^*(L_\omega) = \mathbb{E}^\vee_{\omega^{N-1}} \simeq \mathbb{E}_\omega.$$

An analysis on obstruction theory yields

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}\rangle_g^{\mathcal{X}} &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+\Sigma_i n_i}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N,\mathbf{x})} \psi^{l_1}\cdots\psi^{l_n}\psi^{k_1}\cdots\psi^{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}} e(\mathbb{E}^\vee_{\omega^{N-1}}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathbb{E}^\vee_{\omega^{N-1}}) \\ &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+\Sigma_i n_i}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N,\mathbf{x})} \psi^{l_1}\cdots\psi^{l_n}\psi^{k_1}\cdots\psi^{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}} \lambda^d_{r_1}, \qquad (2.4)\end{aligned}$$

where e is the Euler class and λ_{r_1} the top Chern class of $\mathbb{E}_\omega$. The ranks $r_1 = rank(\mathbb{E}_\omega)$, $r_{N-1} = rank(\mathbb{E}_{\omega^{N-1}})$ are easily calculated by Riemann-Roch,

$$r_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} n_i\frac{i}{N} + g - 1, \quad r_{N-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} n_i\frac{N-i}{N} + g - 1.$$

Also note that $r_1 + r_{N-1} - 1 = 2g + \Sigma_i n_i - 3$.

In what follows we consider Hurwitz-Hodge integrals (2.4) which arise from $\mathbb{P}(1,N)$ and $\mathbb{P}(1,1,N)$. We introduce some notations for such integrals.

$$\langle \tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle_g^h = \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+\Sigma_i n_i}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N,\mathbf{x})} \psi^{l_1}\cdots\psi^{l_n}\psi^{k_1}\cdots\psi^{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}\lambda_{r_1}, \qquad (2.5)$$

$$\langle \tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle_g^h = \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+\Sigma_i n_i}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N,\mathbf{x})} \psi^{l_1}\cdots\psi^{l_n}\psi^{k_1}\cdots\psi^{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}\lambda^2_{r_1} \qquad (2.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\langle \tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle\rangle_g^h = \qquad (2.7)\\ &\sum_{M\geq 0}\frac{1}{M!}\sum_{b_1,\cdots,b_M\geq 0} t_{b_1}\cdots t_{b_M}\langle\tau_{b_1}\cdots\tau_{b_M}\tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle_g^h,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\langle \tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle\rangle_g^h = \qquad (2.8)\\ &\sum_{M\geq 0}\frac{1}{M!}\sum_{b_1,\cdots,b_M\geq 0} t_{b_1}\cdots t_{b_M}\langle\tau_{b_1}\cdots\tau_{b_M}\tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle_g^h.\end{aligned}$$

The following convention will be used. The symbols

$$\langle\star|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle_g^h, \langle\star|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle_g^h$$

where only *non-stacky* insertions occur in $\star$ denote integrals over components of the relevant moduli spaces which parametrize maps with non-trivial monodromy representations. In the absence of cover genus h, the symbols

$$\langle\star|\lambda_g\rangle_g, \langle\star|\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1}\rangle_g$$

denotes integrals over the usual moduli spaces of pointed curves. A similar convention is imposed for double bracket notations.

3. VIRASORO CONSTRAINTS FOR WEIGHTED PROJECTIVE LINES

Let $\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{P}(1,N)$. The Chen-Ruan orbifold cohomology $H^*_{CR}(\mathbb{P}(1,N))$ has the following natural generators:

$$1\in H^0_{CR}(\mathbb{P}(1,N)),\ \xi\in H^2_{CR}(\mathbb{P}(1,N)),\ \gamma_j\in H^{\frac{2j}{N}}_{CR}(\mathbb{P}(1,N)),\ \text{ for } 1\leq j\leq N-1.$$

We will use the following coordinates for the corresponding descendants:

coordinate	descendant
$t_i,\ i\geq 0$	$\tau_i(1)$
$s_i,\ i\geq 0$	$\tau_i(\xi)$
$\alpha_i^j,\ i\geq 0$	$\tau_i(\gamma_j)$ for $1\leq j\leq N-1$.

Let $\mathcal{F}^{g,0}_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the degree zero genus g orbifold Gromov-Witten potential of $\mathcal{X}$. Let

$$\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathcal{X}}=\exp\left(\sum_{g\geq 0}\hbar^{g-1}\mathcal{F}^{g,0}_{\mathcal{X}}\right).$$

For $1\leq i\leq N-1$ let

$$M_i:=\sum_{a=0}^{i}n_a, \tag{3.1}$$

where $n_0:=0$. This notation is used in Section 3 and 4.

Theorem 3.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathcal{L}_k\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathcal{X}}}{\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathcal{X}}}=\sum_{g=0}^{\infty}\hbar^{g-1}(-1)^g\Big((-2)x_g^k(\mathbf{t})+\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}s_l\cdot y^k_{g,l}(\mathbf{t})+\sum_{h=0}^{\infty}w^{h,k}_{g,l}(\mathbf{t})\\ +\sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma n_i}>0}\alpha^1_{k_1}\cdots\alpha^{N-1}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}\cdot z^k_{h;k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}(\mathbf{t})\Big),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}x_g^k(\mathbf{t})=-[1]_0^k\langle\langle\tau_{k+1}|\lambda_{g-1}\rangle\rangle_g+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}[m]_0^k t_m\langle\langle\tau_{k+m}|\lambda_{g-1}\rangle\rangle_g+[1]_1^k\langle\langle\tau_k|\lambda_g\rangle\rangle_g\\ -\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}[m]_1^k t_m\langle\langle\tau_{k+m-1}|\lambda_g\rangle\rangle_g-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m=0}^{k-2}\sum_{g=g_1+g_2}(-1)^{m+1}[-m-1]_1^k\langle\langle\tau_m|\lambda_{g_1}\rangle\rangle_{g_1}\langle\langle\tau_{k-m-2}|\lambda_{g_2}\rangle\rangle_{g_2},\end{aligned}$$

$$y^k_{g,l}(\mathbf{t})=-[1]_0^k\langle\langle\tau_{k+1}\tau_l|\lambda_g\rangle\rangle_g+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}[m]_0^k t_m\langle\langle\tau_{k+m}\tau_l|\lambda_g\rangle\rangle_g+[l+1]_0^k\langle\langle\tau_{k+l}|\lambda_g\rangle\rangle_g$$

$$w^{h,k}_{g,l}(\mathbf{t})=-[1]_0^k\langle\langle\tau_{k+1}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle\rangle^h_g+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}[m]_0^k t_m\langle\langle\tau_{k+m}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle\rangle^h_g$$

and

$$z^k_{h;k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}(\mathbf{t}) = -[1]^k_0\langle\langle\tau_{k+1}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle\rangle^h_g + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}[m]^k_0 t_m\langle\langle\tau_{k+m}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle\rangle^h_g$$
$$+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\sum_{j=M_{i-1}+1}^{M_i}[k_j+\tfrac{i}{N}]^k_0\langle\langle\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{n_{i-1}}}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{n_{i-1}+1}}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k+k_j}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{n_i}}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{n_i+1}}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle\rangle^h_g.$$

Proof. The Virasoro operator $\mathcal{L}_k$, $k>0$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_k = -[1]^k_0\partial_{t_{k+1}} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\Big([m]^k_0 t_m\partial_{t_{k+m}} + [m+1]^k_0 s_m\partial_{s_{k+m}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}[m+\tfrac{i}{N}]^k_0\alpha^i_m\partial_{\alpha^i_{k+m}}\Big)$$
$$+\,2\Big(-[1]^k_1\partial_{s_k} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}[m]^k_1 t_m\partial_{s_{k+m-1}} + \frac{\hbar}{2}\sum_{m=0}^{k-2}(-1)^{m+1}[-m-1]^k_1\partial_{s_m}\partial_{s_{k-m-2}}\Big).$$

As discussed in the previous section, contributions to Gromov-Witten invariants from components of stable map spaces which parametrize maps with non-trivial monodromies (2.1) are given as Hurwitz-Hodge integrals (2.4). Components which parametrize maps with trivial monodromy representation only occurs in case when marked points are all non-stacky. A component that parametrizes degree 0 genus g n-pointed stable maps to $\mathbb{P}(1,N)$ with trivial monodromy is isomorphic to a product $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}\times\mathbb{P}(1,N)$. From [10], the obstruction bundle in this case is $T_{\mathbb{P}(1,N)}\boxtimes\mathbb{E}^\vee$, where $\mathbb{E}$ is the usual Hodge bundle. Contributions from such a component are thus integrals against the Euler class

$$(-1)^g e(T_{\mathbb{P}(1,N)}\boxtimes\mathbb{E}^\vee) = \lambda_g - c_1(\mathbb{P}(1,N))\lambda_{g-1}.$$

So the degree zero orbifold Gromov-Witten potential of $\mathbb{P}(1,N)$ is

$$\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathcal{X}} = \exp\Big((-2)\sum_{g=1}^{\infty}(-1)^g\hbar^{g-1}\langle\langle|\lambda_{g-1}\rangle\rangle_g + \sum_{g=0}^{\infty}(-1)^g\hbar^{g-1}\Big(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}s_m\langle\langle\tau_m|\lambda_g\rangle\rangle_g\Big)$$
$$+\sum_{g=0}^{\infty}(-1)^g\hbar^{g-1}\sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\langle\langle\,|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle\rangle^h_g + \sum_{g=0}^{\infty}(-1)^g\hbar^{g-1}\sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i}>0}\alpha^1_{k_1}\cdots\alpha^{N-1}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}\langle\langle\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle\rangle^h_g\Big).$$

Applying the operator $\mathcal{L}_k$ to $\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathcal{X}}$ we obtain the result. □

It follows that degree zero Virasoro constraints for $\mathbb{P}(1,N)$ are equivalent to the vanishing of $x^k_g(\mathbf{t}), y^k_{g,l}(\mathbf{t})$, $w^{h,k}_{g,l}(\mathbf{t})$ and $z^k_{h,k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}(\mathbf{t})$.

Vanishing of $w^{h,k}_{g,l}(\mathbf{t})$.

Theorem 3.2. *We have*

$$\langle\tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle^h_g = \binom{2g+n-2}{l_1,\ldots,l_n}\langle\tau_{2g-1}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle^h_g.$$

Proof. The vanishing of $w^{h,k}_{g,l}(\mathbf{t})$ gives the vanishing of its Taylor coefficients,

$$\tfrac{1}{[1]^k_0}\partial_{t_{l_1}}\cdots\partial_{t_{l_n}} w^{h,k}_{g,l}(0)=0.$$

An explicit calculation shows that $\tfrac{1}{[1]^k_0}\partial_{t_{l_1}}\cdots\partial_{t_{l_n}} w^{h,k}_{g,l}(0)$ is the right side of the following

$$0=-\langle\tau_{k+1}\tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle^h_g+\sum_{i=1}^n\tfrac{(l_i+k)!}{(l_i-1)!(k+1)!}\langle\tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_i+k}\cdots\tau_{l_n}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle^h_g \tag{3.2}$$

The result follows by solving the recursion (3.2). □

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N)$ be the moduli stack of genus g stable maps to $\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N$ with one non-stacky marked point. The initial values in Theorem 3.2 are integrals over components of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N)$ which parametrize maps with non-trivial monodromy representations. Those integrals may be computed from Hurwitz-Hodge integrals $\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N)}\lambda_{r_1}\psi^{2g-1}$ by subtracting contributions from components which parametrize maps with trivial monodromy. Such contributions are easily found to be

$$\frac{1}{N}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}}\lambda_{g-1}\psi^{2g-1},$$

which has been computed in [9]. The answer may be given in generating series as

$$1+\sum_{g>0}\sum_{l=0}^{g}t^{2g}z^l\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}}\psi_1^{2g-2+l}\lambda_{g-l}=\left(\frac{t/2}{\sin(t/2)}\right)^{z+1}. \tag{3.3}$$

Hurwitz-Hodge integrals of the form

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N)}\lambda_{r_1-i}\psi^{2g-1+i}$$

for $i\geq 0$ are computed in [15] by means of an ELSV-type formula and exact evaluation of double Hurwitz numbers [13]. The answer may be given in generating series as

$$\frac{1}{N}+\sum_{g>0}\sum_{l=0}^{g}t^{2g}z^l\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N)}\psi_1^{2g-2+l}\lambda_{g-l}=\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{Nt/2}{\sin(Nt/2)}\right)^{z}\frac{t/2}{\sin(t/2)}. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore (note that $r_1=g-1$)

$$\sum_{g>0}\sum_{l=0}^{g}t^{2g}z^l\,\langle\tau_{2g-2+l}|\lambda_{r_1+1-l}\rangle^h_g=\frac{1}{N}\frac{t/2}{\sin(t/2)}\left(\left(\frac{Nt/2}{\sin(Nt/2)}\right)^{z}-\left(\frac{t/2}{\sin(t/2)}\right)^{z}\right), \tag{3.5}$$

and the initial values occur in the coefficient of the z term.

Vanishing of $z^k_{h,k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}(\mathbf{t})$. Now let

$$\Gamma_{j,g}=\langle\widetilde{\tau}_a|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle^h_g=\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\sum n_i}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N)}\psi^a\lambda_{r_1},\quad\text{where } a:=2g-2+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}n_i-\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\frac{i}{N}n_i. \tag{3.6}$$

Write $\mathbf{\Gamma_g}:=(\Gamma_{j,g})_{1\leq j\leq\Sigma_i n_i}$ as a column vector. Let $\mathbf{c_g}:=(c_{j,g})_{1\leq j\leq\Sigma_i n_i}$ be another column vector. Let the index i vary from 1 to $N-1$ and define a $\Sigma_i n_i\times\Sigma_i n_i$ square matrix $A=(a_{st})$ by

$$a_{st} := \begin{cases} \frac{i}{N} + a & \text{if } \ M_{i-1} < s = t \leq M_i \, ; \\ \frac{i}{N} & \text{if } M_{i-1} < t \leq M_i \text{ and } s \neq t \, . \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

Alternatively,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{N}+a & \cdots & \frac{1}{N} & \frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{N-1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{N-1}{N} \\ \frac{1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{1}{N} & \frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{N-1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{N-1}{N} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \frac{1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{1}{N}+a & \frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{N-1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{N-1}{N} \\ \frac{1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{1}{N} & \frac{2}{N}+a & \cdots & \frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{N-1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{N-1}{N} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \frac{1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{1}{N} & \frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2}{N}+a & \cdots & \frac{N-1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{N-1}{N} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \frac{1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{1}{N} & \frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{N-1}{N}+a & \cdots & \frac{N-1}{N} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \frac{1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{1}{N} & \frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{N-1}{N} & \cdots & \frac{N-1}{N}+a \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that A is nonsingular for $a \neq 0$. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be the matrix obtained from A as follows: for an integer j with $M_{i-1} + 1 \leq j \leq M_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq N-1$, the j-th row of $\mathbf{A}$ is obtained by multiplying the j-th row of A by

$$\frac{(\frac{i}{N})(2g - 3 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} n_i)!}{(a + \frac{i}{N})! \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (\frac{i}{N})^{n_i}}.$$

Here $(a + \frac{i}{N})! := \prod_{m=0}^{a} (m + \frac{i}{N})$.

The linear system

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{c_g} = \mathbf{\Gamma_g} \tag{3.8}$$

has a unique solution which represents $c_{j,g}$ as a linear combination of $\Gamma_{j,g}$'s for $1 \leq j \leq \Sigma_i n_i$.

For integers $1 \leq s \leq N-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq \Sigma_i n_i$, we put $(k_j + \frac{s}{N})! = \prod_{m=0}^{k_j} (m + \frac{s}{N})$.

The vanishing of $z^k_{h,k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}(\mathbf{t})$ for $k \geq 1$ and $k_1, \cdots, k_{\Sigma_i n_i} \geq 0$ yields the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *We have*

$$\langle \widetilde{\tau}_{k_1} \cdots \widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}} \tau_{l_1} \cdots \tau_{l_n} | \lambda_{r_1} \rangle^h_g = \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=M_{s-1}+1}^{M_s} \frac{(2g-3+n+\Sigma_i n_i)!(k_j + \frac{s}{N})}{\prod_j l_j! \prod_{b=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=M_{b-1}+1}^{M_b} (k_j + \frac{b}{N})!} c_{j,g}.$$

Proof. The vanishing of $z^k_{h,k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}(\mathbf{t})$ gives the vanishing of its Taylor coefficients,

$$\frac{1}{[1]_0^k} \partial_{t_{l_1}} \cdots \partial_{t_{l_n}} z^k_{h;k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}(0) = 0.$$

An explicit calculation shows that $\frac{1}{[1]_0^k}\partial_{t_{l_1}}\cdots\partial_{t_{l_n}} z^k_{h;k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}(0)$ is the right side of the following

(3.9)

$$0=-\langle\tau_{k+1}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}\tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle_g^h+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\tfrac{(l_i+k)!}{(l_i-1)!(k+1)!}\langle\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}\tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_i+k}\cdots\tau_{l_n}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle_g^h$$
$$+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\sum_{j=M_{i-1}+1}^{M_i}\tfrac{(k_j+k+\frac{i}{N})!}{(k_j-1+\frac{i}{N})!(k+1)!}\langle\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{M_{i-1}}}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{M_{i-1}+1}}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_j+k}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{M_i}}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{M_i+1}}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}\tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle_g^h.$$

We now solve the recursion (3.9). The virtual dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1+\Sigma_i n_i}(\mathbb{P}(1,N),0,\mathbf{x})$ is

$$\text{vdim}=(1-g)(1-3)+n+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}n_i+1-\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}n_i\frac{i}{N}.$$

If $\langle\tau_{k+1}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}\tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle_g^h\neq 0$, we have vdim $=k+1+\sum_{i=1}^{n}l_i+\sum_{i=1}^{\Sigma_i n_i}k_i$. So

$$2g-2+n+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}n_i=\sum_{i=1}^{n}l_i+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\sum_{j=M_{i-1}+1}^{M_i}\left(k_j+\tfrac{i}{N}\right)+k. \tag{3.10}$$

For an integer r, then there exists a unique integer s such that $M_{s-1}+1\leq r\leq M_s$, with $1\leq s\leq N-1$. Let $\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i})$ and $\mathbf{l}=(l_1,\cdots,l_n)$. Introduce

$$\Theta(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l})_r:=\frac{(2g-3+n+\Sigma_i n_i)!(k_r+\frac{s}{N})}{\prod_j l_j!\prod_{b=1}^{N-1}\prod_{j=M_{b-1}+1}^{M_b}(k_j+\frac{b}{N})!}. \tag{3.11}$$

We claim that $\Theta(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l})_r$ is a solution of the recursion (3.9). To see this, write (3.10) as

$$2g-2+n+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}n_i=\sum_{i=1}^{n}l_i+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\sum_{j=M_{i-1}+1,j\neq r}^{M_i}\left(k_j+\tfrac{i}{N}\right)+(k_r+\frac{s}{N}+k). \tag{3.12}$$

Multiply both sides of (3.12) by

$$\frac{(2g-3+n+\Sigma_i n_i)!(k_r+\frac{s}{N})}{(k+1)!\prod_j l_j!\prod_{b=1}^{N-1}\prod_{j=M_{b-1}+1}^{M_b}(k_j+\frac{b}{N})!},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(2g-2+n+\Sigma_i n_i)!(k_r+\frac{s}{N})}{(k+1)!\prod_j l_j!\prod_{b=1}^{N-1}\prod_{j=M_{b-1}+1}^{M_b}(k_j+\frac{b}{N})!}\\
&=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{(l_i+k)!}{(l_i-1)!(k+1)!}\frac{(2g-3+n+\Sigma_i n_i)!(k_r+\frac{s}{N})}{l_1!\cdots(l_i+k)!\cdots l_n!\prod_{b=1}^{N-1}\prod_{j=M_{b-1}+1}^{M_b}(k_j+\frac{b}{N})!}\\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\frac{(k_j+k+\frac{i}{N})!}{(k_j-1+\frac{i}{N})!(k+1)!}\cdot\sum_{j=M_{i-1}+1,j\neq r}^{M_i}\frac{(2g-3+n+\Sigma_i n_i)!(k_r+\frac{s}{N})}{\prod_j l_j!\prod_{b=1,b\neq i}^{N-1}\prod_{j=M_{b-1}+1}^{M_b}(k_j+\frac{b}{N})!(k_{M_{i-1}+1}+\frac{i}{N})!\cdots(k_j+k+\frac{i}{N})!\cdots(k_{M_i}+\frac{i}{N})!}\\
&+\frac{(k_r+k+\frac{s}{N})!}{(k_r-1+\frac{s}{N})!(k+1)!}\cdot\frac{(2g-3+n+\Sigma_i n_i)!(k_r+k+\frac{s}{N})}{\prod_j l_j!\prod_{b=1,b\neq s}^{N-1}\prod_{j=M_{b-1}+1}^{M_b}(k_j+\frac{b}{N})!(k_{M_{s-1}+1}+\frac{s}{N})!\cdots(k_r+k+\frac{s}{N})!\cdots(k_{M_s}+\frac{i}{N})!}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to see that this is the recursion (3.9).

Suppose that $\langle\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}\tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}|\lambda_{r_1}\rangle_g^h$ is of the form $\sum_r c_{r,g}\Theta(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l})_r$. Then by considering special values of $\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}$, we find that the coefficients $c_{r,g}$ are uniquely determined by the linear system (3.8). The result follows. $\square$

The initial values (3.6) in Theorem 3.3 and more generally the following Hurwitz-Hodge integrals

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\sum n_i}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N)}\lambda_{r_1-i}\psi^{a+i},\quad i\geq 0,$$

are computed in [15]. Details can be found there.

4. VIRASORO CONSTRAINTS FOR WEIGHTED PROJECTIVE PLANES

Let $\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{P}(1,1,N)$. The Chen-Ruan orbifold cohomology $H^*_{CR}(\mathbb{P}(1,1,N))$ has the following natural generators:

$$\begin{aligned}
&1\in H^0_{CR}(\mathbb{P}(1,1,N)),\ \xi\in H^2_{CR}(\mathbb{P}(1,1,N)),\ [\mathcal{X}]\in H^4_{CR}(\mathbb{P}(1,1,N)),\\
&\gamma_j\in H^{\frac{4j}{N}}_{CR}(\mathbb{P}(1,1,N))\ \text{ for } 1\leq j\leq N-1.
\end{aligned}$$

The following coordinates for the corresponding descendants will be used:

coordinate	descendant
t_i, $i\geq 0$	$\tau_i(1)$
s_i, $i\geq 0$	$\tau_i(\xi)$
r_i, $i\geq 0$	$\tau_i([\mathcal{X}])$
α_i^j, $i\geq 0$	$\tau_i(\gamma_j)$ for $1\leq j\leq N-1$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{X}}^{g,0}$ be the degree zero, genus g orbifold Gromov-Witten potential of $\mathcal{X}$. Let

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^0=\exp\left(\sum_{g\geq 0}\hbar^{g-1}\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{X}}^{g,0}\right).$$

Let c be the number such that $c_1(\mathcal{X})=c\cdot\xi$.

Theorem 4.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathcal{L}_k\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathcal{X}}}{\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathcal{X}}} = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty}\hbar^{g-1}(-1)^g\left(|c|^2x^k_g(\mathbf{t})-\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}c\cdot s_l y^k_{g,l}(\mathbf{t})\right)+\frac{1}{\hbar}w(r,s,t)+\sum_{g=0}^{\infty}\hbar^{g-1}(-1)^g\sum_{h=0}^{\infty}u^{h,k}_{g,l}(\mathbf{t})\\ +\sum_{g=0}^{\infty}\hbar^{g-1}(-1)^g\sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i}>0}\alpha^1_{k_1}\cdots\alpha^{N-1}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}\cdot z^k_{h;k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}(\mathbf{t}),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}x^k_g(\mathbf{t}) =& -[\tfrac{1}{2}]^k_0\langle\langle\tau_{k+1}|\lambda_g\lambda_{g-2}\rangle\rangle_g-\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}[m-\tfrac{1}{2}]^k_0 t_m\langle\langle\tau_{k+m}|\lambda_g\lambda_{g-2}\rangle\rangle_g\\ &+\sum_{m=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{m+1}\Big([-m-\tfrac{3}{2}]^k_0\langle\langle\tau_m\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\tau_{k-m-1}|\lambda_g\lambda_{g-2}\rangle\rangle_g\\ &+\tfrac{1}{2}[-m-\tfrac{1}{2}]^k_0\sum_{g=g_1+g_2}\langle\langle\tau_m|\lambda_{g_1}\lambda_{g_1-2}\rangle\rangle_{g_1}\langle\langle\tau_{k-m-1}|\lambda_{g_2}\lambda_{g_2-2}\rangle\rangle_{g_2}\Big)\\ &+[\tfrac{1}{2}]^k_1\langle\langle\tau_k|\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1}\rangle\rangle_g+\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}t_m[m-\tfrac{1}{2}]^k_1\langle\langle\tau_{k+m-1}|\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1}\rangle\rangle_g\\ &+\sum_{m=0}^{k-2}(-1)^{m+1}[-m-\tfrac{3}{2}]^k_1\langle\langle\tau_m\rangle\rangle_0\langle\langle\tau_{k-m-2}|\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1}\rangle\rangle_g,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}y^k_{g,l}(\mathbf{t}) = -[\tfrac{1}{2}]^k_0\langle\langle\tau_{k+1}\tau_l|\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1}\rangle\rangle_g+\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}[m-\tfrac{1}{2}]^k_0 t_m\langle\langle\tau_{k+m}\tau_l|\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1}\rangle\rangle_g+[l+\tfrac{1}{2}]^k_0\langle\langle\tau_{k+l}|\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1}\rangle\rangle_g\\ +\sum_{m=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{m+1}\Big([-m-\tfrac{3}{2}]^k_0\langle\langle\tau_m\rangle\rangle_0\langle\langle\tau_{k-m-1}\tau_l|\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1}\rangle\rangle_g\\ +[-m-\tfrac{1}{2}]^k_0\langle\langle\tau_m\tau_l\rangle\rangle_0\langle\langle\tau_{k-m-1}|\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1}\rangle\rangle_g\Big),\end{aligned}$$

$w(r,s,t)$ *coincides with a similar term in* [10], *and*

$$u^{h,k}_{g,l}(\mathbf{t}) = -[\tfrac{1}{2}]^k_0\langle\langle\tau_{k+1}|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle\rangle^h_g+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}[m-\tfrac{1}{2}]^k_0 t_m\langle\langle\tau_{k+m}|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle\rangle^h_g,$$

$$\begin{aligned}z^k_{h;k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}(\mathbf{t}) = -[\tfrac{1}{2}]^k_0\langle\langle\tau_{k+1}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle\rangle^h_g+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}[m-\tfrac{1}{2}]^k_0 t_m\langle\langle\tau_{k+m}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle\rangle^h_g\\ +\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\sum_{j=M_{i-1}+1}^{M_i}[k_j+\tfrac{2i}{N}-\tfrac{1}{2}]^k_0\langle\langle\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{n_{i-1}}}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{n_{i-1}+1}}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k+k_j}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{n_i}}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{n_i+1}}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle\rangle^h_g.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The Virasoro operator $\mathcal{L}_k$, $k > 0$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_k = & -[\tfrac{1}{2}]_0^k \partial_{t_{k+1}} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\Big([m-\tfrac{1}{2}]_0^k t_m \partial_{t_{k+m}} + [m+\tfrac{1}{2}]_0^k s_m \partial_{s_{k+m}} + [m+\tfrac{3}{2}]_0^k r_m \partial_{r_{k+m}} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}[m+\tfrac{2i}{N}-\tfrac{1}{2}]_0^k \alpha_m^i \partial_{\alpha_{k+m}^i}\Big) + \hbar \sum_{m=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{m+1}\Big([-m-\tfrac{3}{2}]_1^k \partial_{r_m}\partial_{t_{k-m-1}} + \\ & \tfrac{1}{2}[-m-\tfrac{1}{2}]_1^k \partial_{s_m}\partial_{s_{k-m-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}[-m-\tfrac{2i}{N}+\tfrac{1}{2}]_1^k \partial_{\alpha_m^i}\partial_{\alpha_{k-m-1}^{N-i}}\Big) + \\ & \mathbf{c}\cdot\Big(-[\tfrac{1}{2}]_1^k \partial_{s_k} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}[m-\tfrac{1}{2}]_1^k t_m \partial_{s_{k+m-1}} + [m+\tfrac{1}{2}]_1^k s_m \partial_{r_{k+m-1}} \\ & + \hbar\sum_{m=0}^{k-2}(-1)^{m+1}[-m-\tfrac{3}{2}]_1^k \partial_{r_m}\partial_{s_{k-m-2}}\Big) + |\mathbf{c}|^2\cdot\Big(-[\tfrac{1}{2}]_2^k \partial_{r_{k-1}} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}[m-\tfrac{1}{2}]_2^k t_m \partial_{r_{k+m-2}} \\ & + \frac{\hbar}{2}\sum_{m=0}^{k-3}(-1)^{m+1}[-m-\tfrac{3}{2}]_2^k \partial_{r_m}\partial_{r_{k-m-3}}\Big) + \frac{\delta_{k1}}{2\hbar}t_0^2,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{c}$ satisfies $c_1(\mathcal{X}) = \mathbf{c}\xi$.

Similar to the one-dimensional case, contributions from components which parametrize maps with trivial monodromies need extra care. Such a component in the moduli space of degree 0 genus g n-pointed stable maps is a product $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \times \mathbb{P}(1,1,N)$. As in [10] the obstruction bundle over this component is identified with $T_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,N)} \boxtimes \mathbb{E}^\vee$ and contributions from this component are integrals against the Euler class

$$e(T_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,N)} \boxtimes \mathbb{E}^\vee) = -c_1(\mathbb{P}(1,1,N))\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1} - c_1(\mathbb{P}(1,1,N))^2\lambda_g\lambda_{g-2}.$$

Thus the degree zero orbifold Gromov-Witten potential is:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^0 = \exp\Big(& \frac{1}{\hbar}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} r_m\langle\langle\tau_m\rangle\rangle_0 + \frac{1}{\hbar}\sum_{l,m}\frac{1}{2}s_l s_m\langle\langle\tau_l\tau_m\rangle\rangle_0 + |c|^2\sum_{g=1}^{\infty}\hbar^{g-1}\langle\langle|\lambda_g\lambda_{g-2}\rangle\rangle_g \\ & -\sum_{g=1}^{\infty}\hbar^{g-1}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c\cdot s_m\langle\langle\tau_m|\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1}\rangle\rangle_g + \sum_{g=0}^{\infty}(-1)^g\hbar^{g-1}\sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\langle\langle\ |\lambda_{r_1}^2\rangle\rangle_g^h \\ & + \sum_{g=0}^{\infty}(-1)^g\hbar^{g-1}\sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i}>0}\alpha_{k_1}^1\cdots\alpha_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}^{N-1}\langle\langle\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}|\lambda_{r_1}^2\rangle\rangle_g^h\Big).\end{aligned}$$

So applying the operator $\mathcal{L}_k$ to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^0$ we obtain the result. □

The degree zero Virasoro constraints for $\mathbb{P}(1,1,N)$ is equivalent to the vanishing of $x_g^k(\mathbf{t})$, $y_{g,l}^k(\mathbf{t})$, $w(r,s,t)$ and $u_{g,l}^{h,k}(\mathbf{t})$ and $z_{h,k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}^k(\mathbf{t})$. As explained in [10], the formula $w(r,s,t)$ is very complicated. To get formula of Hurwitz-Hodge integrals, it is not necessary to write $w(r,s,t)$ down.

Vanishing of $u^{h,k}_{g,l}(\mathbf{t})$.

Theorem 4.2. *We have*

$$\langle \tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle^h_g=\frac{(2g+n-3)!(2g-1)!!}{(2g-1)!(2l_1-1)!!\cdots(2l_n-1)!!}\langle\tau_g|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle^h_g.$$

Proof. The vanishing of $u^{h,k}_{g,l}(\mathbf{t})$ gives the vanishing of its Taylor coefficients,

$$\frac{1}{[\frac{1}{2}]^k_0}\partial_{t_{l_1}}\cdots\partial_{t_{l_n}}u^{h,k}_{g,l}(0)=0.$$

An explicit calculation shows that $\frac{1}{[\frac{1}{2}]^k_0}\partial_{t_{l_1}}\cdots\partial_{t_{l_n}}u^{h,k}_{g,l}(0)$ is the right side of the following

$$0=-\langle\tau_{k+1}\tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle^h_g+\sum_{i=1}^n\tfrac{(2l_i+2k-1)!!}{(2k+1)!!(2l_i-1)!!}\langle\tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_i+k}\cdots\tau_{l_n}|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle^h_g \tag{4.1}$$

The result follows by solving the recursion (4.1). □

Exact evaluations of initial values in Theorem 4.2 seem to be unavailable at the moment.

Vanishing of $z^k_{h,k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}(\mathbf{t})$. Let

$$\Gamma_{j,g}=\langle\widetilde{\tau}_a|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle^h_g=\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\sum n_i}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_N)}\psi^a\lambda^2_{r_1},\quad\text{where } a:=g-1+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}n_i-\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\frac{2i}{N}n_i. \tag{4.2}$$

Again write $\mathbf{\Gamma_g}:=(\Gamma_{j,g})_{1\leq j\leq\Sigma_i n_i}$ as a column vector and let $\mathbf{c_g}:=(c_{j,g})_{1\leq j\leq\Sigma_i n_i}$ be another column vector. Let the index i vary from 1 to $N-1$ and define a $\Sigma_i n_i\times\Sigma_i n_i$ square matrix $A=(a_{st})$ by

$$a_{st}:=\begin{cases}\frac{2i}{N}+a & \text{if } M_{i-1}<s=t\leq M_i\,;\\ \frac{2i}{N} & \text{if } M_{i-1}<t\leq M_i \text{ and } s\neq t\,.\end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

The matrix can be written as follows:

$$A=\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{2}{N}+a & \cdots & \frac{2}{N} & \frac{4}{N} & \cdots & \frac{4}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2(N-1)}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2(N-1)}{N}\\
\frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2}{N} & \frac{4}{N} & \cdots & \frac{4}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2(N-1)}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2(N-1)}{N}\\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots\\
\frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2}{N}+a & \frac{4}{N} & \cdots & \frac{4}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2(N-1)}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2(N-1)}{N}\\
\frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2}{N} & \frac{4}{N}+a & \cdots & \frac{4}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2(N-1)}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2(N-1)}{N}\\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots\\
\frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2}{N} & \frac{4}{N} & \cdots & \frac{4}{N}+a & \cdots & \frac{2(N-1)}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2(N-1)}{N}\\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots\\
\frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2}{N} & \frac{4}{N} & \cdots & \frac{4}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2(N-1)}{N}+a & \cdots & \frac{2(N-1)}{N}\\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots\\
\frac{2}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2}{N} & \frac{4}{N} & \cdots & \frac{4}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2(N-1)}{N} & \cdots & \frac{2(N-1)}{N}+a
\end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that A is nonsingular for $a \neq 0$. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be the matrix obtained from A as follows: for an integer j with $M_{i-1}+1 \leq j \leq M_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq N-1$, the j-th row of $\mathbf{A}$ is obtained by multiplying the j-th row of A by

$$\frac{(g+\frac{1}{2}(\sum_i n_i - 3))!(\frac{2i}{N}-\frac{1}{2})}{(a+\frac{2i}{N}-\frac{1}{2})!\prod_{i=1}^{N-1}(\frac{2i}{N}-\frac{1}{2})^{n_i}}.$$

The linear system

$$\mathbf{A}\cdot\mathbf{c_g} = \mathbf{\Gamma_g} \tag{4.4}$$

has a unique solution which represents $c_{j,g}$ as a linear combination of $\Gamma_{j,g}$'s for $1 \leq j \leq \Sigma_i n_i$.

For integers $1 \leq s \leq N-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq \Sigma_i n_i$, let $(k_j + \frac{2s}{N})! = \frac{2s}{N}\cdot(1+\frac{2s}{N})\cdots(k_j+\frac{2s}{N})$.

The vanishing of $z^k_{h,k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}(\mathbf{t})$ for $k \geq 1$ and $k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i} \geq 0$ yields the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *We have*

$$\langle\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}\tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle^h_g = \sum_{s=1}^{N-1}\sum_{j=M_{s-1}+1}^{M_s}\frac{(g+\frac{1}{2}(n+\Sigma_i n_i-3))!(k_j-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2s}{N})}{\prod_j(l_j-\frac{1}{2})!\prod_{b=1}^{N-1}\prod_{j=M_{b-1}+1}^{M_b}(k_j-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2b}{N})!}c_{j,g}.$$

Proof. Again we consider the following recursion given by $\frac{1}{[\frac{1}{2}]^k_0}\partial_{t_{l_1}}\cdots\partial_{t_{l_n}}z^k_{h;k_1,\cdots,k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}(0)=0$:

$$\begin{aligned}0 = &-\langle\tau_{k+1}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}\tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle^h_g + \sum_{i=1}^n\frac{[l_i-\frac{1}{2}]^k_0}{[\frac{1}{2}]^k_0}\langle\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}\tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_i+k}\cdots\tau_{l_n}|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle^h_g\\ &+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\sum_{j=M_{i-1}+1}^{M_i}\frac{[k_j+\frac{2i}{N}-\frac{1}{2}]^k_0}{[\frac{1}{2}]^k_0}\langle\widetilde{\tau}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{n_{i-1}}}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{n_{i-1}+1}}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_j+k}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{n_i}}\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{n_i+1}}\cdots\widetilde{\tau}_{k_{\Sigma_i n_i}}\tau_{l_1}\cdots\tau_{l_n}|\lambda^2_{r_1}\rangle^h_g.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Virtual dimension constraints for orbifold Gromov-Witten invariants of $\mathbb{P}(1,1,N)$ gives

$$g+\frac{1}{2}\Big(n+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}n_i-2\Big) = \sum_{i=1}^n\Big(l_i-\frac{1}{2}\Big)+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\sum_{j=M_{i-1}+1}^{M_i}\Big(k_j-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2i}{N}\Big)+k. \tag{4.6}$$

So from (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6), using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 we finish the proof. □

Again, initial values in Theorem 4.3 remain to be computed explicitly.

5. DEGREE ZERO VIRASORO CONSTRAINTS FOR THREEFOLDS

The Virasoro constraints in degree zero for threefolds do not give anything new: all descendent invariants are reduced to primary ones by string and dilaton equations. This follows from a dimension argument already discussed in [14].

Degree zero primary invariants of $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,N)$ are closely related to Gromov-Witten invariants of $[\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_N]$, the stack defined by the weight $(1,1,1)$ action of $\mathbb{Z}_N$ on $\mathbb{C}^3$. The case $N=3$ is of special interest since this action is Calabi-Yau. In this case primary invariants with stacky insertions are written as Hurwitz-Hodge integrals

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n_1+n_2}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_3)} \lambda_{r_1}^3,$$

where n_1, n_2 represent n_1 stacky points of type ω and n_2 stacky points of type $\overline{\omega}$.

Some of these integrals have been predicted in physics [1]. For example,

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,\omega,\omega,\omega}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_3)} \lambda_{r_1}^3 = 0, \quad \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,\omega^6}(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_3)} \lambda_{r_1}^3 = \frac{1}{3^5}.$$

In genus zero, the generating function of these integrals is computed in [6], [7], [2], [5]. Mathematical calculations of examples in higher genus are found in [3].

REFERENCES

[1] M. Aganagic, V. Bouchard and A. Klemm, Topological strings and (almost) modular forms, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **277** (2008), no. 3, 771–819, hep-th/0607100.

[2] A. Bayer and C. Cadman, Quantum cohomology of $[\mathbb{C}^N/\mu_r]$, arXiv:0705.2160.

[3] V. Bouchard and R. Cavalieri, On the mathematics and physics of high genus invariants of $[\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_3]$, arXiv:0709.3805.

[4] J. Bryan, T. Graber and R. Pandharipande, The orbifold quantum cohomology of $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_3$ and Hurwitz-Hodge integrals, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **17** (2008), no. 1, 1–28, math.AG/0510335.

[5] C. Cadman and R. Cavalieri, Gerby Localization, $\mathbb{Z}_3$-Hodge Integrals and the GW Theory of $[\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_3]$, arXiv:0705.2158.

[6] T. Coates, A. Corti, H. Iritani, and H.-H. Tseng, Wall-Crossings in Toric Gromov-Witten Theory I: Crepant Examples, math.AG/0611550.

[7] T. Coates, A. Corti, H. Iritani, and H.-H. Tseng, Computing Genus-Zero Twisted Gromov-Witten Invariants, math.AG/0702234.

[8] B. Dubrovin and Y. Zhang, Frobenius manifolds and Virasoro constraints, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 5 (1999), no. 4, 423–466, math.AG/9808048.

[9] C. Faber and R. Pandharipande, Hodge integrals and Gromov-Witten theory, *Invent. Math.* 139, 173–199(2000), math.AG/9810173.

[10] E. Getzler and R. Pandharipande, Virasoro constraints and the Chern classes of the Hodge bundle, *Nucl. Phys. B* 530 (1998), 701–714, math.AG/9805114.

[11] A. Givental, Gromov-Witten invariants and quantization of quadratic Hamiltonians, *Mosc. Math. J.* 1 (2001), no. 4, 551–568.

[12] A. Givental, Symplectic geometry of Frobenius structures, in *Frobenius manifolds*, 91–112, Aspects Math., E36, Vieweg, Wiesbaden, 2004.

[13] I. P. Goulden, D. Jackson and R. Vakil, Towards the geometry of double Hurwitz numbers, *Adv. Math.* **198** (2005), no. 1, 43–92, arXiv: math/0309440.

[14] Y. Jiang and H.-H. Tseng, On Virasoro constraints for orbifold Gromov-Witten theory, arXiv:0704.2009.

[15] P. Johnson, R. Pandharipande and H.-H. Tseng, Abelian Hurwitz-Hodge integrals, arXiv:0803.0499.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, 155 SOUTH 1400 EAST JWB233, SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84112, USA

E-mail address: `jiangyf@math.utah.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON, VAN VLECK HALL, 480 LINCOLN DRIVE, MADISON, WI 53706-1388, USA

E-mail address: `tseng@math.wisc.edu`